



SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT TO COUNCIL

Notices of Motion

NARROMINE SHIRE COUNCIL
ORDINARY MEETING BUSINESS PAPER – DEFERRED MEETING OF 10 JUNE 2020
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT – NOTICES OF MOTION

1. LOCAL GOVERNMENT REMUNERATION

Author	Director Governance
Responsible Officer	General Manager
Link to Strategic Plans	CSP – 4.3.1 Operate and manage Council in a financially sustainable manner that meets all statutory and regulatory compliance and Council policies

Executive Summary

This report provides information to Council to adopt the remuneration for the Mayor and Councillors for the 2020/2021 financial year. The report is provided as supplementary information to the Notices of Motion Report.

Report

The Local Government Remuneration Tribunal has now released its Annual Report and Determination for 2020 (**See Attachment No. 1**).

Pursuant to section 239 of the Local Government Act 1993 the Tribunal determines the categories of councils and mayoral offices and the allocation of each council and mayoral office into one of those categories.

Narromine Shire Council has been categorised as a Rural Council.

Pursuant to section 241 of the Local Government Act 1993 the Tribunal determines in each category of council, the maximum and minimum amounts of fees to be paid to Mayors and Councillors of councils.

The Tribunal has determined that there will be no increase in the minimum and maximum fees applicable to each existing category.

Council has the discretion to adopt the remuneration anywhere between the minimum and maximum fees set by the Local Government Remuneration Tribunal.

Legal and Regulatory Compliance

Pursuant to section 241 of the Local Government Act 1993, the Tribunal has determined that the annual fees to be paid in Category Rural to Councillors and Mayors is as follows:

**NARROMINE SHIRE COUNCIL
ORDINARY MEETING BUSINESS PAPER – DEFERRED MEETING OF 10 JUNE 2020
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT – NOTICES OF MOTION**

1. LOCAL GOVERNMENT REMUNERATION (Cont'd)

Fees for General Purpose Councils				
Category	Councillor/ Member Annual Fee		Mayor/Chairperson Additional Fee*	
	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum
Rural	\$9,190	\$12,160	\$9,780	\$26,530

* This fee must be paid in addition to the fee paid to the Mayor/Chairperson as a Councillor/Member (section 249(2)).

Financial Implications

The remuneration adopted by Council in 2019 was \$12,155.73 for Councillors and \$26,528.28 for the Mayor.

Risk Management Issues

Compliance with Local Government Remuneration Tribunal Determination 2020

Internal/External Consultation

Nil

Attachments

- Local Government Remuneration Tribunal – Annual Report and Determination 2020

RECOMMENDATION

That there be no increase in remuneration for Councillors and Mayor for the 2020/2021 year.

Jane Redden
General Manager

**Local
Government
Remuneration
Tribunal**

**Annual Report and
Determination**

*Annual report and determination under sections 239 and
241 of the Local Government Act 1993*

**10 June
2020**

Contents

Contents	1
Executive Summary	2
Section 1 Introduction	4
Section 2 2019 Determination	4
Section 3 Review of categories	5
Scope of review	5
Submissions received - categorisation	6
Findings - categorisation	7
Allocation of councils into categories	9
Section 4 Fees	13
Section 5 Other matters	15
Conclusion	16
Section 6 Determinations	17
Determination No. 1- Determination Pursuant to Section 239 of Categories of Councils and County Councils Effective From 1 July 2020	17
Table 1: General Purpose Councils - Metropolitan	17
Table 2: General Purpose Councils – Non-Metropolitan	18
Table 3: County Councils	18
Determination No. 2- Determination Pursuant to Section 241 of Fees for Councillors and Mayors	19
Table 4: Fees for General Purpose and County Councils	19
Appendices	20
Appendix 1 Criteria that apply to categories	20

Executive Summary

The *Local Government Act 1993* (the LG Act) requires the Local Government Remuneration Tribunal (the Tribunal) to report to the Minister for Local Government by 1 May each year as to its determination of categories of councils and the maximum and minimum amounts of fees to be paid to mayors, councillors, and chairpersons and members of county councils.

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic the Minister for Local Government, the Hon Shelley Hancock MP, made the *Local Government (General) Amendment (COVID-19) Regulation 2020* which extends the time for the making of this determination to no later than 1 July 2020.

Categories

Section 239 of the LG Act requires the Tribunal to determine the categories of councils and mayoral offices at least once every 3 years. The Tribunal last undertook a significant review of the categories and the allocation of councils into each of those categories in 2017. In accordance with the LG Act the Tribunal undertook a review of the categories and allocation of councils into each of those categories as part of the 2020 review.

In reviewing the categories, the Tribunal examined a range of statistical and demographic data and considered the submissions of councils and Local Government NSW (LGNSW). Having regard to that information, the Tribunal has determined to retain a categorisation model which differentiates councils primarily on the basis of their geographic location, and the other factors including population, the sphere of the council's economic influence and the degree of regional servicing.

For the Metropolitan group the Tribunal has determined to retain the existing categories and has amended the population criteria applicable to Metropolitan Large and Metropolitan Medium. For the Non-Metropolitan group, the Tribunal has determined to: create two new categories - Major Strategic Area and Regional Centre; rename one category - Regional City to Major Regional City; and revise the criteria for some of the existing categories to account for the new categories.

In accordance with section 239 of the LG Act the categories of general purpose councils are determined as follows:

Metropolitan

- Principal CBD
- Major CBD
- Metropolitan Large
- Metropolitan Medium
- Metropolitan Small

Non-metropolitan

- Major Regional City
- Major Strategic Area
- Regional Strategic Area
- Regional Centre
- Regional Rural
- Rural

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Fees

The Tribunal has determined that there will be no increase in the minimum and maximum fees applicable to each existing category. For the new categories, the Tribunal has determined fees having regard to relevant relativities.

Section 1 Introduction

1. Section 239 of the LG Act provides for the Tribunal to determine the categories of councils and mayoral offices and to place each council and mayoral office into one of those categories. The categories are to be determined at least once every 3 years.
2. Section 241 of the LG Act provides for the Tribunal to determine, not later than 1 May in each year, for each of the categories determined under section 239, the maximum and minimum amount of fees to be paid to mayors and councillors of councils, as well as chairpersons and members of county councils.
3. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic the Minister for Local Government, the Hon Shelley Hancock MP, made the *Local Government (General) Amendment (COVID-19) Regulation 2020* which extends the time for the making of this determination to no later than 1 July 2020.
4. In determining the maximum and minimum fees payable in each of the categories, the Tribunal is required, pursuant to section 242A (1) of the LG Act, to give effect to the same policies on increases in remuneration as those of the Industrial Relations Commission. The current policy on wages is that public sector wages cannot increase by more than 2.5 per cent, and this includes the maximum and minimum fees payable to councillors and mayors and chairpersons and members of county councils.
5. The Tribunal is however able to determine that a council can be placed in another existing or a new category with a higher range of fees without breaching the Government's wage policy pursuant to section 242A (3) of the LG Act.
6. The Tribunal's determinations take effect from 1 July in each year.

Section 2 2019 Determination

7. The Tribunal considered ten requests for re-categorisation. At the time of making the determination the Tribunal had available to it the 30 June 2018 population data. In reviewing the submissions received the Tribunal applied a multi variable approach assessing each council against all the criteria (not only population) for the requested category and the

relativities within the categories.

8. The Tribunal found that the allocation of councils into the current categories was appropriate but again noted that some of those councils seeking to be moved were likely to meet the criteria for re-categorisation in future determinations.
9. The Tribunal's 2019 Determination was made on 15 April 2019 and provided a general increase of 2.5 per cent which was consistent with the Government's policy on wages.
10. The Tribunal's findings for North Sydney was not addressed in the 2019 Determination and is dealt with in Section 3 below.

Section 3 Review of categories

Scope of review

11. Section 239 of the LG Act requires the Tribunal to determine the categories of councils and mayoral offices at least once every 3 years. The Tribunal last reviewed the categories during the 2017 annual review.
12. In determining categories, the Tribunal is required to have regard to the following matters that are prescribed in section 240 of the LG Act:

"240 (1)

- *the size of areas*
- *the physical terrain of areas*
- *the population of areas and the distribution of the population*
- *the nature and volume of business dealt with by each Council*
- *the nature and extent of the development of areas*
- *the diversity of communities served*
- *the regional, national and international significance of the Council*
- *such matters as the Remuneration Tribunal considers relevant to the provision of efficient and effective local government*
- *such other matters as may be prescribed by the regulations."*

13. The Tribunal foreshadowed in the 2019 Determination of its intention to undertake a review of the categories in accordance with the LG Act:

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

“12. A few submissions have suggested alternative categorisation models. The Tribunal will consider this in detail in the 2020 review. The Tribunal intends to commence the 2020 annual review earlier than usual to ensure there is time to review the existing model and to examine alternatives. The Tribunal is of the preliminary view that a case may exist to revise the number of categories, and their applicable criteria, particularly for regional and rural councils.”

14. The Tribunal wrote to all mayors in October 2019 advising of the commencement of the 2020 review and invited submissions from councils on the following matters:

1. *Proposed classification model and criteria*
2. *Allocation in the proposed classification model*
3. *Range of fees payable in the proposed classification model*
4. *Other matters*

15. The Tribunal also wrote to the President of Local Government NSW (LGNSW) in similar terms, and subsequently met with the President and Chief Executive of LGNSW. The Tribunal thanks the President and Chief Executive for making the time to meet with the Tribunal.

16. The Tribunal also met with the Mayors and General Managers of Central Coast and Maitland Councils and the Tribunal thanks them for making the time to meet with the Tribunal.

Submissions received - categorisation

17. The Tribunal received 38 submissions from individual councils, a submission from LGNSW and a submission from Regional Cities NSW. Most of the submissions addressed the Tribunal’s proposed categorisation model, the allocation of councils into those categories and fees. A summary of the matters raised, and the Tribunal’s consideration of those matters is outlined below.

Proposed classification model and criteria

18. Submissions from 20 councils and LGNSW supported the Tribunal’s proposal to create a new category of Regional Centre for the Non-Metropolitan group and were of the view

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

that the range of fees would be somewhere between Regional Strategic Area and Regional Rural.

19. Several submissions from Non-Metropolitan councils proposed alternative changes to the model such as, the merging of the Regional Rural and Rural categories, the creation of a new 'Regional' category and the renaming of Regional City to 'Gateway City' or 'Nationally Significant Regional City'.
20. Four submissions from Metropolitan councils sought the creation of a new Metropolitan category with the title of 'Metropolitan Large – Growth Area' or 'Metropolitan Major'.

Allocation in the proposed classification model

21. The Tribunal proposed to allocate 24 councils in the proposed new category of Regional Centre. Of these 24 councils, 14 provided a submission - 11 councils noted or supported their allocation as Regional Centre and 3 councils sought re-categorisation as Regional Strategic Area.
22. In addition to the 3 councils, another 17 councils sought re-categorisation into one of the categories included in the Tribunal's proposed model or into requested alternative new categories. The 20 re-categorisation requests are addressed in Section 3 – Allocation of councils into categories.

Findings - categorisation

23. The Tribunal acknowledges the significant number of submissions received this year and is grateful for the positive response and effort made in those submissions to comment on the proposed categorisation model and suggest alternatives for consideration.
24. There has been broad support to the Tribunal's proposal to create a new Non-Metropolitan category of Regional Centre and rename Regional City to Major Regional City. On that basis the Tribunal will determine the new category of Regional Centre and rename Regional City to Major Regional City. There have been some new criteria added to the category of Major Regional City to acknowledge the broader national and state focus of these cities which impact upon the operations of the council.
25. After considering the views in submissions the Tribunal re-examined the Non-Metropolitan category of Regional Strategic Area in terms of its criteria and the

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

characteristics of the councils allocated into it. The Tribunal concluded that the characteristics of the two councils allocated to this category – Central Coast and Lake Macquarie – were sufficiently different to warrant further differentiation. Central Coast has a population greater than 340,000 making it the third largest council by population in NSW and the sixth largest council by population in Australia. It also has the second largest revenue base of all councils in NSW. Central Coast is a significant contributor to the regional economy associated with proximity to and connections with Sydney and the Hunter Region. A new category has been created for Central Coast Council and is to be titled Major Strategic Area. The criteria for this category include local government areas with a minimum population of 300,000, and larger scale and scope to those categorised as Regional Strategic Area. There is no change to the population threshold for the category of Regional Strategic Area, however the other criteria have been amended to account for other changes in the Non-Metropolitan group.

26. The Tribunal's preliminary thinking was that no changes to the categories and criteria for Metropolitan and County Councils were warranted. In respect to the categories, the Tribunal continues to hold that view. In respect to the criteria, after considering submissions the Tribunal re-examined the population criteria for both the Metropolitan Medium and Metropolitan Large categories.
27. North Sydney and Willoughby councils again put forward cases for non-resident workers to be included in the population for Metropolitan Medium. To examine this claim more broadly the Tribunal reviewed non-resident working populations across all metropolitan councils. After careful consideration the Tribunal concluded there was a strong case to recognise the impact on councils of serving significant numbers of non-resident workers. The criteria now provide for councils with a non-resident working population of 50,000 or above to move to another category if their combined resident and non-resident working population exceeds the minimum population threshold. The criteria for Metropolitan Medium and Metropolitan Large have been amended as follows:

Metropolitan Large

Councils may also be categorised as Metropolitan Large if their residential population combined with their non-resident working population exceeds 200,000. To satisfy this criteria the non-resident working population must exceed 50,000.

Metropolitan Medium

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Councils may also be categorised as Metropolitan Medium if their residential population combined with their non-resident working population exceeds 100,000. To satisfy this criteria the non-resident working population must exceed 50,000.

28. In making this determination the Tribunal reviewed the criteria for other Metropolitan categories and found that the current population thresholds are appropriate.
29. The revised model which will form the basis of this determination is as follows:

Metropolitan	Non-Metropolitan
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Principal CBD• Major CBD• Metropolitan Large• Metropolitan Medium• Metropolitan Small	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Major Regional City• Major Strategic Area• Regional Strategic Area• Regional Centre• Regional Rural• Rural

30. The criteria for each of the categories are outlined at Appendix 1. Minor changes have been made to the criteria for some of the existing categories to account for the new categories. As with the previous categorisation model the predominant factor to guide categorisation is population. Other common features of councils within those categories are also broadly described. These criteria have relevance when population alone does not adequately reflect the status of one council compared to others with similar characteristics. In some instances, the additional criteria will be significant enough to warrant the categorisation of a council into a group with a higher population threshold.
31. There is no change to the categorisation of county councils.

Allocation of councils into categories

32. In accordance with section 239 of the LG Act the Tribunal is required to allocate each of the councils into one of the categories. The allocation of councils is outlined in Determination No. 1 of Section 6.
33. Twenty (20) submissions received from councils requested re-categorisation and were considered having regard to the case put forward and the criteria for each category.
34. At the time of making the determination the Tribunal had available to it the 30 June 2019 population data released by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) on 25 March 2020.

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

35. A summary of the Tribunal's findings for each of the applications for re-categorisation is outlined in the following paragraphs.

Metropolitan Large Councils

36. Canterbury-Bankstown, Penrith and Blacktown have requested the creation of new categories into which they be re-categorised. Canterbury-Bankstown has requested a new category named 'Metropolitan Major'. Penrith and Blacktown have requested a new category named 'Metropolitan Large – Growth Centre'.

37. The Tribunal considers that Canterbury-Bankstown, Penrith and Blacktown are appropriately categorised as Metropolitan Large.

Metropolitan Medium Councils

38. Inner West has again sought to be re-categorised as Metropolitan Large. The Tribunal outlined in the 2019 determination that Inner West's June 2018 population of 198,024 was below the indicative population of other Metropolitan Large councils, but based on growth predictions it was likely Inner West would meet the minimum population threshold for inclusion in Metropolitan Large in 2020.

39. Inner West's June 2019 population is 200,811 and the council now meets the criteria to be categorised as Metropolitan Large.

40. Ryde has sought to be re-categorised as Metropolitan Large on the basis of the large non-resident working population in the Macquarie Park Business Park (MPBP) precinct, the economic output of the precinct and its array of significant regional services.

41. The Hills has requested the creation of a new category named 'Metropolitan Growth' and that it be categorised into it. Recognition is sought for councils experiencing significant growth. The submission also notes that while Ryde does not meet the residential population criteria for Metropolitan Large it meets the other relevant criteria.

42. As previously discussed, the Tribunal has reviewed the impact of large numbers of non-residents visitors and workers and revised the criteria for Metropolitan Large Councils. Ryde and The Hills have been assessed against the new revised criteria being - *Councils may also be categorised as Metropolitan Large if their residential population combined*

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

with their non-resident working population exceeds 200,000. To satisfy this criteria the non-resident working population must exceed 50,000.

43. Both Ryde and The Hills have a non-resident working population of more than 50,000 and combined with their resident populations they meet the revised criteria for inclusion in the group of Metropolitan Large councils. Both councils also provide a sphere of economic influence and provide regional services considered akin to those of other metropolitan large councils.

Metropolitan Small Councils

44. Camden, Willoughby and North Sydney have sought to be re-categorised as Metropolitan Medium.
45. The Tribunal outlined in the 2019 determination that Camden's June 2018 population of 94,159 was below the indicative population of other Metropolitan Medium councils, but based on growth predictions it was likely Camden would meet the minimum population threshold for inclusion in Metropolitan Medium in 2020.
46. Camden's June 2019 population is 101,437 and the council now meets the criteria to be categorised as Metropolitan Medium.
47. The Tribunal has previously considered requests from Willoughby and North Sydney Councils to be re-categorised as Metropolitan Medium in 2018 and 2019. Both Councils have populations within the indicative population range for Metropolitan Small councils but well below that of Metropolitan Medium. Both Councils have argued that their scale of operations, degree of regional servicing and high number of non-resident visitors and workers more closely align with the characteristics of Metropolitan Medium Councils.
48. As previously discussed, the Tribunal has reviewed the impact of large numbers of non-resident workers and revised the criteria for Metropolitan Medium Councils. Willoughby and North Sydney have been assessed against the new revised criteria being - *Councils may also be categorised as Metropolitan Medium if their residential population combined with their non-resident working population exceeds 100,000. To satisfy this criteria the non-resident working population must exceed 50,000.*
49. Both Willoughby and North Sydney have a non-resident working population of more than 50,000 and combined with their resident populations they meet the revised criteria for inclusion in the group of Metropolitan Medium councils. Both councils also meet the

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

other criteria having: a significant regional role as the third and fourth biggest CBDs in Sydney after Sydney City and Parramatta; strategic significance as either transport hubs, business, cultural or employment centres.

50. Both North Sydney and Willoughby meet the criteria for re-categorisation as Metropolitan Medium.

Regional City Councils

51. Newcastle and Wollongong have proposed new categories into which they have sought to be re-categorised. Newcastle has proposed a new category named 'Gateway City' and Wollongong a new category named 'Nationally Significant Regional City'.
52. The Tribunal's revised categorisation model re-named the existing category of Regional City to Major Regional City and found no case to adopt the new categories proposed by Newcastle and Wollongong. The Tribunal considers that both councils are appropriately categorised as Major Regional City.

Regional Strategic Area Councils

53. Central Coast has again sought to be re-categorised as Regional City. The council submits that its characteristics are more like Newcastle and Wollongong (Regional City) and substantially different to Lake Macquarie (Regional Strategic Area).
54. Central Coast does not meet the broader criteria applicable to other councils in the category of Major Regional City - being Newcastle and Wollongong. As previously discussed a new category - Major Strategic Area - has been created to recognise the scale and unique position of Central Coast Council to both the Sydney and Hunter regions.

Regional Rural Councils

55. Bathurst, Maitland, and Shoalhaven noted that under the Tribunal's proposed allocation of councils they would be allocated to the new Regional Centre category, however the three councils sought to be re-categorised as Regional Strategic Area.
56. Bathurst's June 2019 population of 43,618, Maitland's June 2019 population of 85,166 and Shoalhaven's June 2019 population of 105,648 are below the indicative population of Regional Strategic Area councils. The Tribunal considers that Bathurst, Maitland and Shoalhaven are all appropriately categorised as Regional Centre.
57. Bega, Byron and Eurobodalla have sought to be re-categorised to the new Regional Centre category. Bega's June 2019 population of 34,476, Byron's June 2019 population of 35,081 and Eurobodalla's June 2019 population of 38,473 are significantly below the indicative population of Regional Centre councils. These councils have not demonstrated the additional criteria to warrant inclusion in the Regional Centre group.

Rural Councils

58. Muswellbrook and Federation have again sought to be re-categorised as Regional Rural. Muswellbrook's June 2019 population of 16,377 and Federation's June 2019 population of 12,437 are well below the indicative population of Regional Rural councils. Both councils have not demonstrated the additional criteria to warrant inclusion in the Regional Rural group.

Section 4 Fees

59. In determining the maximum and minimum fees payable in each of the categories, the Tribunal is required, pursuant to section 242A of the LG Act, to give effect to the same policies on increases in remuneration as those that the Industrial Relations Commission is required to give effect to under section 146C of the *Industrial Relations Act 1996* (IR Act), when making or varying awards or orders relating to the conditions of employment of public sector employees.
60. The current policy on wages pursuant to section 146C(1)(a) of the IR Act is articulated in the *Industrial Relations (Public Sector Conditions of Employment) Regulation 2014* (IR Regulation 2014). When the Tribunal undertook the annual review the effect of the IR Regulation 2014

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

was that public sector wages could not increase by more than 2.5 per cent, and this includes the maximum and minimum fees payable to councillors and mayors and chairpersons and members of county councils.

61. The Tribunal received submissions for consideration during the annual review in late 2019. Those submissions were made prior to the pandemic and overwhelmingly supported a 2.5 per cent increase in the ranges of fees which was consistent with the Government's wages policy at the time. A summary of those submissions is outlined in the paragraphs 62 and 63.
62. The LGNSW submission requested that the Tribunal increase fees by the allowable maximum of 2.5 per cent. The submission also reiterated the long-held view that fees for mayors and councillors are well behind, the current fee structure fails to recognise the work of elected representatives and is inadequate to attract and retain individuals with the necessary skills and experience. Comparative information was again presented in respect to board fees, fees paid to mayors and councillors of councils in Queensland, and salaries for members of Parliament. The LGNSW submission also noted the Tribunal's previous observations that it does not have jurisdiction on the matter of non-payment of superannuation but again invited the Tribunal to make a recommendation to the NSW State Government for councillor remuneration to include a payment for superannuation equivalent to the Superannuation Guarantee.
63. Several submissions sought an increase to the allowable maximum of 2.5 per cent acknowledging the restrictions on the Tribunal from the Government's wages policy. Several submissions sought an increase greater than 2.5 per cent by requesting that fees be aligned to councillor fees in Victoria and Queensland or to NSW members of Parliament.
64. Since receiving and considering those submissions there have been a number of factors which have influenced the Tribunal's views in regard to the annual increase. These include the impact of the bushfires and the current COVID-19 pandemic on the state and federal economies and the wellbeing of our communities.
65. To ensure the Tribunal had sufficient time to consider the COVID-19 pandemic the Minister for Local Government, the Hon Shelley Hancock MP, made the *Local Government*

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

(General) Amendment (COVID-19) Regulation 2020 which extends the time for the making of this determination to no later than 1 July 2020.

66. On 29 May 2020 the Premier, the Hon Gladys Berejiklian MP, made the *Industrial Relations (Public Sector Conditions of Employment) Amendment (Temporary Wages Policy) Regulation 2020*. That regulation amended the IR Regulation 2014 to implement a temporary wages policy, being a 12-month pause on wage increases for public sector employees covered by the IR Act.
67. On 2 June 2020 the amending regulation was disallowed by the Legislative Council. The effect of that disallowance is that the Government's wages policy which provides for increases of up to 2.5 per cent continues to apply.
68. While the Tribunal is required to give effect to the Government's wages policy in the making of this determination, it is open to the Tribunal to determine an increase of up to 2.5 per cent or no increase at all. Given the current economic and social circumstances, the Tribunal has determined that there be no increase in the minimum and maximum fees applicable to each existing category.
69. The minimum and maximum fees for the two new categories of Major Strategic Area and Regional Centre have been set having regard to relevant relativities. The new category of Major Strategic Area has equivalent annual fees to Major Regional City. The new category of Regional Centre has annual fees between those applicable to Regional Strategic Area and Regional Rural. In accordance with the LG Act councils can be placed in a new category with a higher range of fees without breaching the Government's wages policy.

Section 5 Other matters

70. The Tribunal addressed the matter of non-payment of superannuation in the 2019 Determination:

"40. The submission from LGNSW and several councils have again raised the matter of the non-payment of superannuation. The Tribunal addressed this matter in the 2018 determination as outline below and will make no further comment:

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

“54. The matter of the non-payment of superannuation has been previously raised in submissions to the Tribunal and is not a matter for the Tribunal to determine. Section 251 of the LG Act confirms that councillors are not employees of the council and the fee paid does not constitute a salary under the Act. The Tribunal notes that the Australian Tax Office has made a definitive ruling (ATO ID 2007/205) that allows councillors to redirect their annual fees into superannuation on a pre-tax basis and is a matter for councils (Ref: Councillor Handbook, Oct 2017, Office of Local Government p.69).”

71. By way of clarification, the amount redirected under this ruling is funded from the annual fees as determined by Tribunal – it is not an additional amount funded by the council.
72. The Tribunal notes that the Hon Shelly Hancock MP, Minister for Local Government released the *Councillor superannuation discussion paper* in March 2020, to seek the views of councils and their communities on whether councillors should receive superannuation payments. The deadline for submissions was Friday 8 May 2020.

Conclusion

73. The Tribunal’s determinations have been made with the assistance of the two Assessors - Mr Brian Bell and Mr Tim Hurst. The allocation of councils into each of the categories, pursuant to section 239 of the LG Act, is outlined in Determination No. 1. The maximum and minimum fees paid to councillors and mayors and members and chairpersons of county councils, pursuant to section 241 of the LG Act, are outlined in Determination No. 2.

The Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Signed

Dr Robert Lang

Dated: 10 June 2020

Section 6 Determinations

Determination No. 1- Determination Pursuant to Section 239 of Categories of Councils and County Councils Effective From 1 July 2020

Table 1: General Purpose Councils - Metropolitan

Principal CBD (1)	Major CBD (1)
Sydney	Parramatta
Metropolitan Large (11)	Metropolitan Medium (9)
Blacktown	Bayside
Canterbury-Bankstown	Campbelltown
Cumberland	Camden
Fairfield	Georges River
Inner West	Hornsby
Liverpool	Ku-ring-gai
Northern Beaches	North Sydney
Penrith	Randwick
Ryde	Willoughby
Sutherland	
The Hills	
Metropolitan Small (8)	
Burwood	
Canada Bay	
Hunters Hill	
Lane Cove	
Mosman	
Strathfield	
Waverley	
Woollahra	

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Table 2: General Purpose Councils – Non-Metropolitan

Major Regional City (2)		Major Strategic Area (1)		Regional Strategic Area (1)	
Newcastle		Central Coast		Lake Macquarie	
Wollongong					

Regional Centre (24)		Regional Rural (13)	
Albury	Mid-Coast	Bega	
Armidale	Orange	Broken Hill	
Ballina	Port Macquarie-Hastings	Byron	
Bathurst	Port Stephens	Eurobodalla	
Blue Mountains	Queanbeyan-Palerang	Goulburn Mulwaree	
Cessnock	Shellharbour	Griffith	
Clarence Valley	Shoalhaven	Kempsey	
Coffs Harbour	Tamworth	Kiama	
Dubbo	Tweed	Lithgow	
Hawkesbury	Wagga Wagga	Mid-Western	
Lismore	Wingecarribee	Richmond Valley Council	
Maitland	Wollondilly	Singleton	
		Snowy Monaro	

Rural (57)			
Balranald	Cootamundra-Gundagai	Junee	Oberon
Bellingen	Cowra	Kyogle	Parkes
Berrigan	Dungog	Lachlan	Snowy Valleys
Bland	Edward River	Leeton	Temora
Blayney	Federation	Liverpool Plains	Tenterfield
Bogan	Forbes	Lockhart	Upper Hunter
Bourke	Gilgandra	Moree Plains	Upper Lachlan
Brewarrina	Glen Innes Severn	Murray River	Uralla
Cabonne	Greater Hume	Murrumbidgee	Walcha
Carrathool	Gunnedah	Muswellbrook	Walgett
Central Darling	Gwydir	Nambucca	Warren
Cobar	Hay	Narrabri	Warrumbungle
Coolamon	Hilltops	Narrandera	Weddin
Coonamble	Inverell	Narromine	Wentworth
			Yass

Table 3: County Councils

Water (4)	Other (6)
Central Tablelands	Castlereagh-Macquarie
Goldenfields Water	Central Murray
Riverina Water	Hawkesbury River
Rous	New England Tablelands
	Upper Hunter
	Upper Macquarie

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Determination No. 2- Determination Pursuant to Section 241 of Fees for Councillors and Mayors

Pursuant to s.241 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, the annual fees to be paid in each of the categories to Councillors, Mayors, Members and Chairpersons of County Councils effective on and from 1 July 2020 are determined as follows:

Table 4: Fees for General Purpose and County Councils

Category		Councillor/Member Annual Fee		Mayor/Chairperson Additional Fee*	
		Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum
General Purpose Councils - Metropolitan	Principal CBD	27,640	40,530	169,100	222,510
	Major CBD	18,430	34,140	39,160	110,310
	Metropolitan Large	18,430	30,410	39,160	88,600
	Metropolitan Medium	13,820	25,790	29,360	68,530
	Metropolitan Small	9,190	20,280	19,580	44,230
General Purpose Councils - Non-metropolitan	Major Regional City	18,430	32,040	39,160	99,800
	Major Strategic Area	18,430	32,040	39,160	99,800
	Regional Strategic Area	18,430	30,410	39,160	88,600
	Regional Centre	13,820	24,320	28,750	60,080
	Regional Rural	9,190	20,280	19,580	44,250
	Rural	9,190	12,160	9,780	26,530
County Councils	Water	1,820	10,140	3,920	16,660
	Other	1,820	6,060	3,920	11,060

*This fee must be paid in addition to the fee paid to the Mayor/Chairperson as a Councillor/Member (s.249(2)).

The Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Signed

Dr Robert Lang

Dated: 10 June 2020

Appendices

Appendix 1 Criteria that apply to categories

Principal CBD

The Council of the City of Sydney (the City of Sydney) is the principal central business district (CBD) in the Sydney Metropolitan area. The City of Sydney is home to Sydney's primary commercial office district with the largest concentration of businesses and retailers in Sydney. The City of Sydney's sphere of economic influence is the greatest of any local government area in Australia.

The CBD is also host to some of the city's most significant transport infrastructure including Central Station, Circular Quay and International Overseas Passenger Terminal. Sydney is recognised globally with its iconic harbour setting and the City of Sydney is host to the city's historical, cultural and ceremonial precincts. The City of Sydney attracts significant visitor numbers and is home to 60 per cent of metropolitan Sydney's hotels.

The role of Lord Mayor of the City of Sydney has significant prominence reflecting the CBD's importance as home to the country's major business centres and public facilities of state and national importance. The Lord Mayor's responsibilities in developing and maintaining relationships with stakeholders, including other councils, state and federal governments, community and business groups, and the media are considered greater than other mayoral roles in NSW.

Major CBD

The Council of the City of Parramatta (City of Parramatta) is the economic capital of Greater Western Sydney and the geographic and demographic centre of Greater Sydney. Parramatta is the second largest economy in NSW (after Sydney CBD) and the sixth largest in Australia.

As a secondary CBD to metropolitan Sydney the Parramatta local government area is a major provider of business and government services with a significant number of organisations relocating their head offices to Parramatta. Public administration and safety have been a growth sector for Parramatta as the State Government has promoted a policy of moving government agencies westward to support economic development beyond the Sydney CBD.

The City of Parramatta provides a broad range of regional services across the Sydney Metropolitan area with a significant transport hub and hospital and educational facilities. The City of Parramatta is home to the Westmead Health and Medical Research precinct which represents the largest concentration of hospital and health services in Australia, servicing Western Sydney and providing other specialised services for the rest of NSW.

The City of Parramatta is also home to a significant number of cultural and sporting facilities (including Sydney Olympic Park) which draw significant domestic and international visitors to the region.

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Metropolitan Large

Councils categorised as Metropolitan Large will typically have a minimum residential population of 200,000.

Councils may also be categorised as Metropolitan Large if their residential population combined with their non-resident working population exceeds 200,000. To satisfy this criteria the non-resident working population must exceed 50,000.

Other features may include:

- total operating revenue exceeding \$200M per annum
- the provision of significant regional services to greater Sydney including, but not limited to, major education, health, retail, sports, other recreation and cultural facilities
- significant industrial, commercial and residential centres and development corridors
- high population growth.

Councils categorised as Metropolitan Large will have a sphere of economic influence and provide regional services considered to be greater than those of other metropolitan councils.

Metropolitan Medium

Councils categorised as Metropolitan Medium will typically have a minimum residential population of 100,000

Councils may also be categorised as Metropolitan Medium if their residential population combined with their non-resident working population exceeds 100,000. To satisfy this criteria the non-resident working population must exceed 50,000

Other features may include:

- total operating revenue exceeding \$100M per annum
- services to greater Sydney including, but not limited to, major education, health, retail, sports, other recreation and cultural facilities
- industrial, commercial and residential centres and development corridors
- high population growth.

The sphere of economic influence, the scale of council operations and the extent of regional servicing would be below that of Metropolitan Large councils.

Metropolitan Small

Councils categorised as Metropolitan Small will typically have a residential population less than 100,000.

Other features which distinguish them from other metropolitan councils include:

- total operating revenue less than \$150M per annum.

While these councils may include some of the facilities and characteristics of both Metropolitan Large and Metropolitan Medium councils the overall sphere of economic influence, the scale of council operations and the extent of regional servicing would be below that of Metropolitan Medium councils.

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Major Regional City

Newcastle City Council and Wollongong City Councils are categorised as Major Regional City. These councils:

- are metropolitan in nature with major residential, commercial and industrial areas
- typically host government departments, major tertiary education and health facilities and incorporate high density commercial and residential development
- provide a full range of higher order services and activities along with arts, culture, recreation, sporting and entertainment facilities to service the wider community and broader region
- have significant transport and freight infrastructure servicing international markets, the capital city and regional areas
- have significant natural and man-made assets to support diverse economic activity, trade and future investment
- typically contain ventures which have a broader State and national focus which impact upon the operations of the council.

Major Strategic Area

Councils categorised as Major Strategic Area will have a minimum population of 300,000.

Other features may include:

- health services, tertiary education services and major regional airports which service the surrounding and wider regional community
- a full range of high-order services including business, office and retail uses with arts, culture, recreation and entertainment centres
- total operating revenue exceeding \$250M per annum
- significant visitor numbers to established tourism ventures and major events that attract state and national attention
- a proximity to Sydney which generates economic opportunities.

Currently, only Central Coast Council meets the criteria to be categorised as a Major Strategic Area. Its population, predicted population growth, and scale of the Council's operations warrant that it be differentiated from other non-metropolitan councils. Central Coast Council is also a significant contributor to the regional economy associated with proximity to and connections with Sydney and the Hunter Region.

Regional Strategic Area

Councils categorised as Regional Strategic Area are differentiated from councils in the Regional Centre category on the basis of their significant population and will typically have a residential population above 200,000.

Other features may include:

- health services, tertiary education services and major regional airports which service the surrounding and wider regional community
- a full range of high-order services including business, office and retail uses with arts, culture, recreation and entertainment centres
- total operating revenue exceeding \$250M per annum
- significant visitor numbers to established tourism ventures and major events that attract state and national attention
- a proximity to Sydney which generates economic opportunities.

Currently, only Lake Macquarie Council meets the criteria to be categorised as a Regional Strategic Area. Its population and overall scale of council operations will be greater than Regional Centre councils.

Attachment No 1
Local Government Remuneration Tribunal

Regional Centre

Councils categorised as Regional Centre will typically have a minimum residential population of 40,000. Other features may include:

- a large city or town providing a significant proportion of the region's housing and employment
- health services, tertiary education services and major regional airports which service the surrounding and wider regional community
- a full range of high-order services including business, office and retail uses with arts, culture, recreation and entertainment centres
- total operating revenue exceeding \$100M per annum
- the highest rates of population growth in regional NSW
- significant visitor numbers to established tourism ventures and major events that attract state and national attention
- a proximity to Sydney which generates economic opportunities.

Councils in the category of Regional Centre are often considered the geographic centre of the region providing services to their immediate and wider catchment communities.

Regional Rural

Councils categorised as Regional Rural will typically have a minimum residential population of 20,000. Other features may include:

- a large urban population existing alongside a traditional farming sector, and are surrounded by smaller towns and villages
- health services, tertiary education services and regional airports which service a regional community
- a broad range of industries including agricultural, educational, health, professional, government and retail services
- large visitor numbers to established tourism ventures and events.
- Councils in the category of Regional Rural provide a degree of regional servicing below that of a Regional Centre.

Rural

Councils categorised as Rural will typically have a residential population less than 20,000.

Other features may include:

- one or two significant townships combined with a considerable dispersed population spread over a large area and a long distance from a major regional centre
- a limited range of services, facilities and employment opportunities compared to Regional Rural councils
- local economies based on agricultural/resource industries.

County Councils - Water

County councils that provide water and/or sewerage functions with a joint approach in planning and installing large water reticulation and sewerage systems.

County Councils - Other

County councils that administer, control and eradicate declared noxious weeds as a specified Local Control Authority under the *Biosecurity Act 2015*.